

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/887,549	LOY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Etienne P. LeRoux	2161	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Etienne P. LeRoux.

(3) _____.

(2) Jay M Finkelstein.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 April 2006

Time: 1:15 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

14-39

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to Applicant's representative to request the cancellation of claims 14-39 which are currently Withdrawn. Applicant's representative agreed with examiner's proposed amendment and authorization was granted for an Examiner's Amendment in order to advance prosecution.